REMARKS

Attorney for applicant has carefully reviewed the outstanding Office Action on the present application. Applicant has amended Claim 9.

The October 30th Office Action has been made final. In such circumstances, a Request for Continued Examination ("RCE") is enclosed herewith to expedite prosecution of the present application.

Claims 9, 11, 12, 14, and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement, and under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Specifically, the Examiner objected to the step cutting edges being defined as "sharply formed." To facilitate matters, applicant has removed this phrase from Claim 9.

The Examiner also objected to the guide cutting edges being defined as "bluntly formed." Applicant's attorney has amended Claim 9 to clarify that the guide cutting edges of the drill are blunt (i.e., has a blunt surface) in an effort to positively claim the structure rather than the way it is formed. Please note that support for this amendment can be found in at least the following sections of the specification:

- Paragraph [0023] of the published application (page 5, lines 14-16 of the specification) states that "The blunt guide cutting edges..."
- Paragraph [0024] of the published application (page 6, lines 2-4 of the specification)
 states that "...while the guide cutting edges are blunt, that is to say non-cutting."
- 3. Paragraph [0079] of the published application (page 11, lines 3 and 4 of the specification) states that "...while the guide cutting edges 112 are blunt, non-cutting."

Appln. No. 10/549,266
Amendment dated April 30, 2008
Reply to Office Action mailed October 30, 2007

4. Paragraph [0101] of the published application (page 15, lines 29-31 of the

specification) states that "The blunt guide cutting edges 112..."

5. Paragraph [0108] of the published application (page 17, lines 3-6 of the

specification) states that "...the guide cutting edges 212 of which are blunt..."

Claims 9, 11, 12, 14, and 15 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,871,356 to Guedj in view of U.S. Patent No.

3.564.945 to Bradley. This claim rejection is respectfully traversed for the following

reasons.

As recited in amended Claim 9 (and as best shown in Figs. 1A and 1B), the

pilot drill (1) includes tip cutting edges (101) that are **sharply** formed, guide cutting edges

(112) that have a blunt form, and a drill neck (12) with a bevel (123) that is sharply

formed. Amended Claim 9 also recites (and as best shown in Figs. 2A and 2B) a step drill

(2) that includes tip cutting edges (201) that are sharply formed, guide cutting edges (212)

that have a blunt form, and a drill neck (22) with a bevel (223) that is sharply formed.

The **blunt** guide cutting edges make it possible to correct the drilling direction

within a conical range of correction without widening the pilot bore guide. Thus, the **blunt**

guide cutting edges can be used to correct the axial direction by maintaining the precision

of the bore.

It is respectfully submitted that the Guedj reference and the Bradley

reference, whether considered individually or in combination with each other, do not

-8-

anticipate or make obvious the present invention as recited in amended independent Claim

9. The drill disclosed in the Guedj reference is structurally different than the pilot drill or the

step drill recited in amended Claim 9. For example, the Guedj reference does not disclose

or suggest a drill with guide cutting edges that have a blunt form.

Further, the Guedj reference is void of any disclosure or suggestion of a drill

that has **sharply** formed tip cutting edges, guide cutting edges that have a **blunt** form, and

a drill neck with a sharply formed bevel. Accordingly, the Guedj reference does not

disclose or suggest the drill set recited in amended Claim 9.

With respect to the Bradley reference, it discloses a step drill having a

number of steps successively widening in diameter. The step drill disclosed in the Bradley

reference is used for cutting into or through metal or other materials such as synthetic

resins. There is no disclosure or suggestion in the Bradley reference of a step drill that has

sharply formed tip cutting edges, guide cutting edges (212) that have a blunt form, and a

drill neck (22) with a sharply formed bevel (223). Accordingly, the Bradley reference does

not disclose or suggest the drill set recited in amended Claim 9.

In view of the foregoing circumstances, even if it were obvious to combine the

drill of the Guedj reference with the drill of the Bradley reference, the resulting combination

would not result in the present invention, since it would still lack a drill that has sharply

formed tip cutting edges, guide cutting edges that have a **blunt** form, and a drill neck with a

sharply formed bevel, as recited in amended Claim 9. Accordingly, applicant's attorney

respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw his rejection based upon the Guedi and

Bradley references.

-9-

In the foregoing circumstances, amended independent Claim 9 is believed to be in condition for allowance. Because Claims 11, 12, 14, and 15 depend from amended independent Claim 9, they are also in condition for allowance.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, applicant's attorney respectfully requests reexamination and allowance of pending Claims 9, 11, 12, 14, and 15. If such action cannot be taken, the Examiner is cordially invited to place a telephone call to applicant's attorney in order that any outstanding issue may be resolved without the issuance of a further Office Action.

Enclosed is a Petition for a three-month extension of time to and including April 30, 2008. Also enclosed is a Request for Continued Examination Transmittal Form which authorizes payment of the RCE filing fee. If there are any additional fees due as a result of this Amendment, including extension and petition fees, the Examiner is authorized to charge them to Deposit Account No. 503571.

Respectfully Submitted.

McCARTER & ENGLISH, LLP

Dated: April 30, 2008

Sanjiv M. Chokshi Reg. No. 44,080 McCarter & English, LLP Four Gateway Center 100 Mulberry Street Newark. NJ 07102-4056

(973) 622-4444